Option Appraisal: Good Home Alliance - Advice & Casework Service Pilot Hosting Arrangements - Appendix A

	The purpose of the Good Home Alliance is to assist Lincolnshire residents to improve their homes by:
	1. Providing good quality information they can use themselves; and
Objectives/Drievities	2. Providing extra advice and support for the most vulnerable.
Objectives/Priorities:	Objectives:
	1. To pilot and evaluate the Good Home Alliance Advice & Casework service
	2. Deliver a 2 year pilot to establish business case, identify demand and inform ongoing service delivery and operating model.

(ey:

1107.		
	Low	Low level of risk on Council
Risk rating	Medium	Risk mitigated by arrangement; some risk transference
	High	Substantial risk fall on council difficult to mitigate against risk

			Constraints:	Risk Estimate to Council	Likely to meet		
Option Primary Op	tion Pros	Cons	Legal; Skills sets; Resourcing; Health & Safety; Political	Operational and Financial	objectives & targets?	Recommend proceed to Outline/Full Business Plan	Comments
1 SELCP (ELDC)		Operational day-to-day responsibilities will be held by ELDC e.g. information sharing, data protection, safeguarding etc. Potential perception issue that service delivery is responsibility of ELDC/SELCP only - requires robust communications Increases SELCP head count by 3-4 FTE and associated workforce operational requirements e.g. IT, HR, Payroll etc.)	hosts and leads on the development of the GHA project. Resourcing: Requires evaluation, recruitment and on-boarding to up to 4 FTE.	Operational: Medium. Operational responsibility for Advice and Casework service delivery will be held by ELDC on day to day basis, but governed by HHCDG Financial: Low. Position funding is being provided via LCC and funding Districts. No direct financial impact other than general operational requirements (e.g. IT, HR, Payroll etc.)		Yes	Preferred option
2 LCC or other District H	Retaining operational responsibility for delivery of the pilot within the funding authorities (direct service delivery) increases the ability of the project steering group governance and management to respond with agility as service requirements, need and demands are established throughout the pilot period. Maintains connection and integration with DC hosted Energy Advice Demonstrator services, as part of wider GHA activities. Transfers operational risks and resourcing requirements from SELCP if the service is hosted within another Council. Does not require additional SELCP led recruitment or increase in FTE head count. Likely to increase accountability / engagement from a minor funding contributor and spread wider GHA project delivery accountability across funding partners.	s major funding contributor and ELDC as contributing most due to DFG allocation formula. Creates arms length management arrangements, between existing / incumbent SELCP lead positions: Healthy and Accessible Homes Housing Lead / Strategic Housing Manager. Dependent on alternative council appetite to host. Limited rationale for hosting with minor funding contributor, and/or potential limit to hosting Council's incentive to ensure successful delivery of pilot based on financial investment.	Skill sets: Current incumbent project leads sit within SELCP and would need to transfer existing operational knowledge requirements to identified hosting council. Resourcing: Reduces recruitment and operational resourcing requirements from SELCP/ELDC and transfers to alternative housing council.	Operational: Medium. Operational responsibility for Advice and Casework service delivery is transferred to alternative hosting council, however, direct day-to-day management, control and accountability for service performance is also transferred Financial: Medium. Combined, SELCP has the most financial investment at stake/incentive to ensure success of the service.	Yes	Yes - if preferred option not endorsed	Potential option - noting reduced SELCP control over pilot delivery and likelihood of delay to pilot recruitment and commencement of pilot.
3 Voluntary Sector	Hosted Invests into local voluntary sector. Potential to leverage existing voluntary sector skills and community relationships. Reduced / outsourced direct operational pilot service management.	Unknown market capacity to provide service. Lack of market testing to support this option. Unclear commissioning/procurement lead and contracting arrangements - decision would be required. Potential to incur additional / unbudgeted 'pump priming' service development / commencement costs. Total service value of c.£500k would likely to require additional procurement processes, extend service commencement timeframes, and require additional approval processes. Current project timeframe and targets do not allow for this activity to be undertaken. Risks delaying engagement of Centre for Ageing Better (CfAB) evaluation and loss of access to identified CfAB evaluation funding. Difficult to define service delivery levels to outsource service, and limits flexibility to establish capacity requirements throughout pilot period. The pilot itself is intended to establish ongoing service levels, demand and delivery requirements recommendations for transitioning service to ongoing operational delivery. Requires contact management resource and capacity - currently un-resourced. Risks pilot not achieving identified outcomes, or requires close contact management and project support. Limits project flexibility and adaptability or ability to respond to ongoing learning and evaluation to refocus pilot to meet identified outcomes.	Skill sets: Unknown as to who would lead procurement. Unknown market / sector ability to deliver new / untested service offer. Resourcing: Unknown market capacity to deliver / unknown lead procurement capacity to resource. Unknown contract management capacity / resource Political: Unknown / unidentified council/Cllr appetite for externally provided service. Agreement potentially required by all funding councils.	unlikely to meet target service	No (due to the required market and procurement activities required to progressed within available timeframes)	No	Not recommended - likely to lead to significant project and service commencement delays
4 Wellbeing Servic	This option was initially considered by both LCC and the project group - and remain synergy and alignment between the aims of objectives of the Advice and Casework current re-commissioning of the Wellbeing Service, the option was unable to be protected. The delivery of the pilot aims to establish the level of service demand, capacity, pat delivery into the Wellbeing Service once the new contract has commenced. Internal delivery of the pilot enables the full requirements to be established, defined incorporating any ongoing service provision into the Welling Service, or alternative	Service and Wellbeing Service. However, due to the project timeframes and gressed. hways and resources required, with the option to transition the ongoing service and tested prior to recommending any ongoing service delivery model or	Legal: Wellbeing Service is currently being procured Skill sets: TBC Resourcing: TBC Political: TBC	Operational: TBC Financial: TBC	Yes (but not at current time)	TBC dependent on pilot / evaluation and ongoing service delivery recommendations	Remains preferred potential option for post-pilot service delivery.

Do nothing	The service/pilot is entirely discretionary with no requirement for SELCP or any	Does not meet the objective of the GHA to "provide extra advice and support for	Legal: None	Operational: Medium	No	No	Not recommended
	other Council / organisation to provide.	the most vulnerable"					
			Skill sets: Does not leverage existing	Financial: Medium			
	Not providing the Advice & Casework service would still meet one of the two	Does not meet the Lincolnshire housing needs, identified through the	skills / learning gained through project	t			
	objectives of the GHA - "to provide good quality information they can use	development activities and resulting from the CfAB Good Home Inquiry.	development				
	themselves". However, limits ongoing resourcing for testing and maintenance of we	b					
	based information and healthy home assessment.	Does not deliver against the strategic objectives of the HHCDG and Home for	Resourcing: Does not utilise				
		Independence delivery plan.	identified resource / funding. Does				
	Does not require additional recruitment, resourcing or funding.		not provide ongoing resource for				
			testing and maintenance of Good				
		Councils	Home Lincs web pages and Healthy				
			Home Assessment.				
			Political: Unlikely to be supported				
			widely across funding Councils.				